

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A DDI ICATIONINO	CH INC DATE	FIDOT MANGED DIVENTED	ATTORNEY DOCKETNO	CONFIDMATIONALO
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,941	04/30/2001	James F. Hemerick	6530.0278	8636
22852	590 01/26/2004		EXAMINER	
•	HENDERSON, FAR	THALER, MICHAEL H		
LLP				
1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	10
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				NK		
		Application N .	Applicant(s)	· · · · · ·		
Office Action Summary		09/843,941	HEMERICK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael Thaler	3731	<u> </u>		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE f this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover shee	l with the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) I tute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	December 2003.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 11,45,47-57,59-65,67 and 68 is/are	e pending in the application	on.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,45,47-57,59-65,67 and 68</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
10)	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a lacknowledgment is made of a claim for dome ince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78. Compared to the foreign language of the compared to the com	ents have been received. ents have been received i riority documents have be eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ist of the certified copies i estic priority under 35 U.S first sentence of the spec provisional application ha estic priority under 35 U.S	n Application No pen received in this National Stage not received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicate of the period of the perio	eet. c		
Attachmen	ıt(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) the mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) D Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3731

Claims 11, 45, 48, 50-55 and 61-63 are rejected under 35 103(a) being unpatentable over Winston U.S.C. as al. (5,306,294) in view of Bartholf et al. (2001/0034549). al. show outer tubular structure 20, inner elongated structure 12, stent accommodating area (just distal to flange 14) and a plurality of external tubular structure contact areas (flanges 14) which slide against the interior surface of the outer tubular structure 20 since they are shown contacting one another in figures 1, 2 and 4. Winston et al. fail to disclose a translucent region at the distal end of the outer tubular structure 20. However, Bartholf et al. teach that the distal end region of the outer tubular structure of a stent delivery system should transmit light therethrough so that the stent therein may be visually inspected (paragraphs [0034] It would have been obvious to enable the distal end region of the outer tubular structure 20 of Winston et al. to transmit light therethrough so that it too would have this The Bartholf et al. distal end region 72 of the advantage. outer tubular structure is translucent and is non-braided as claimed, although it surrounds braiding 70. Further, the length of this translucent region substantially coincides with a constrained length of the stent as seen in figures 2 and 3. to claims 48 and 50, Winston et al. fail to disclose at least

one marker band on the inner elongated structure. Bartholf et al. teach that the inner elongated structure of a stent delivery system should include a marker band 22 in order to provide an indication of whether or not the stent has been completely deployed (paragraph [0031]). It would have been obvious to include a marker band on the on the inner elongated structure 12 of Winston et al. so that it too would have this advantage. As to claim 51, Winston et al. fails to disclose the steps of retracting the stent back into the outer tubular structure and then repositioning the stent delivery system. However, retracting the Winston et al. stent back into the outer tubular structure and then repositioning the stent delivery system when it is determined that the stent is not initially properly positioned would have been obvious since it was well known in this art to so retract and reposition stents for this As to claim 53, Winston et al. fail to show Pellethane as the material for the inner tubular structure. However, using Pellethane as the material for the inner tubular structure would have been obvious since it is well known as a desirable material for this use as indicated on page 2, lines 8-10 of applicant's

specification. The above well known in the art statements are

taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to

traverse the examiner's assertions (M.P.E.P. 2144.03).

Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

Claims 47 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winston et al. (5,306,294) in view of Bartholf et al. (2001/0034549) as applied to claims 11, 45, 48, 50-55 and 61-63 above, and further in view of Hofmann et al. (5,810,837). Winston et al. fail to disclose a gap between an external surface of the external tubular structure 14 and the inner surface of the outer tubular structure 20. Hofmann et al. teach that there should be a gap between the external surface of the external tubular structure 10 and the inner surface of the outer tubular structure 3 (the outer diameter C of member 10 is 4.5 mm while the inner diameter B of outer tubular structure 3 is 4.6 mm as indicated in col. 4, line 38) apparently in order to insure that the inner elongated structure 10, 9, 7 is able to slide relative to outer tubular structure 3 with minimal friction. It would have been obvious to provide such a gap between the Winston et al. external surface of the external tubular structure 14 and the inner surface of the outer tubular structure 20 so that it too would have this advantage.

Claims 56, 57, 59, 60, 64, 65, 67 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winston et al. (5,306,294) in view of Bartholf et al. (2001/0034549) as applied to claims 11, 45, 48, 50-55 and 61-63 above, and further in view

Art Unit: 3731

of Burns (5,100,381). Winston et al. fail to disclose each subsequently proximal external structure 14 increasing in durometer. However, Burns teaches that the distal portion of a catheter should be more flexible than the proximal portion in order to allow the catheter to be advanced through the rather tortuous paths of the arteries while maintaining pushability (col. 2, lines 30-34 and col. 3, line 65 to col. 4, line 6). It would have been obvious to make the distal portion of the Winston et al. catheter 12 more flexible than the proximal portion so that it too would have this advantage. With this modification, the distal portion of the Winston et al. catheter 12 (which includes a distal flange 14) would be made of a material which is more flexible (with a low durometer) than a proximal portion of the catheter 12 (which includes a proximal flange 14) made of a high durometer, stiffer material.

Page 5

Applicant's arguments filed Dec. 18, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Thaler whose telephone number is (703) 308-2981. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached on (703)308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

mht 1/22/04 MICHAEL THALER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3731

Page 6